



**Sh. Harinder Singh, (7986141271)**

S/o Sh. Tejinder Singh,  
H No 40, Street No 8, Officers Enclave,  
Phase-2, Patiala - 147001

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology,  
Patiala.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology,  
Patiala.

**Appeal Case No.3008 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	16-04-2021
PIO replied on	:	12-05-2021
First appeal filed on	:	18-05-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	17-06-2021

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent : Adv. Ashutosh (Counsel for respondent) 9988996014

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 08.03.2022.

The appellant had sought certain information from Thaper Institute of Engineering and technology, Patiala.

2. Appellant is absent for the second time in succession without any intimation to the Commission. Accordingly, it is presumed that appellant do not want to pursue this case anymore or he has nothing to say and the **Commission has disposed of this case.** However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Davinder (Bitta), (9417136539)**

S/o Sh. Sham Lal,  
House No. 874, Street No. 6, Janakpuri,  
Ludhiana - 141003

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No.4015 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	02-06-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	06-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Davinder (Bitta)  
Respondent: ASI Balwinder Singh (9463180355)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 04.04.2022.
2. Both the parties are present and heard.
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the submission made by both the parties it is transpired that the disclosure of such information is not in the public interest as the appellant has asked for the information for promotion of his personal interest. Therefore, the CPIO is justified in denying the information sought, u/s 8(1)(j) of the Act. Accordingly the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Chander Partap, (9417294254)**

S/o Sh. Swami Ji,  
R/o Ward No. 1, Kala Manj Kothi, G.T road Mukerian,  
District Hoshiarpur – 144211

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

.....Respondent

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Add. Chief Secretary, Cooperative Department, Punjab,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

**Appeal Case No.4069 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Inspector Amandeep Kaur (Vigilance Bureau, pb.)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 04.04.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent:** An email dated 10.07.2022 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:  
"ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਅਤੇ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਹਾਊਸਫੈਡ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 16-05-2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਭੇਜਕੇ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਮਿਤੀ 02-03-2019 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜੋ ਮੁੱਦੇ ਉਠਾਏ ਗਏ ਹਨ, ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਮੁੱਦਿਆਂ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਅਤੇ ਹਰਿਆਣਾ ਹਾਈਕੋਰਟ ਵਿਖੇ ਸਿਵਲ ਰਿਟ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨ ਨੰ. 3587 ਆਫ 2018 ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਮਿਤੀ 08-08-2022 ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੋ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸੰਸਥਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰ ਲਈ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।"
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case the commission reveals that the respondent authority is denying the information under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act, which he claims to be correct and legal.

*Section 8(1)(b) in The Right To Information Act, 2005, says (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen,--*

*(b) Information which has been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court;*

Accordingly this case is **closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



{Encl. Pg. 2} Sh. Chander Pratap, (9417294254)

S/o Sh. Swami Ji,  
Ward No 1, Kala Manj Kothi, G.T. Road, Mukherian,  
Distt Hoshiarpur - 144211

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Financial Commissioner, Cooperation,  
Mini Sectt. Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Financial Commissioner, Cooperation,  
Mini Sectt. Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No.5677 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	15-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	26-10-2021
First appeal filed on	:	14-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Ms. Rajbir Kaur (Sr. Assit.) (ON CALL)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 04.04.2022.

**Information Sought:**

**ਹਵਾਲਾ: ਮੇਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਮਿਤੀ: 1.05.2021**

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਮਿਤੀ 1. ਦੀ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਨੂੰ 6 ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬੀਤਣ ਵਾਲਾ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਉਪਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਦੀ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਾਰੇ ਅੱਜ ਤਕ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਭਾਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ first: 12.6.21, 6.7.21 31.7.2021 star ਇਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਹੁਣ ਹੋਰ ਲਿਖੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਨ। Act ਦੇ ਨਿਰਧਾਰਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ ਅੰਦਰ ਅੰਦਰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਡਾਕ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ,

1. ਮੇਰੀ ਮਿਤੀ: 1.2.2021 ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਦੇ ਲੜੀਵਾਰ/ਪੈਰਾਵਾਈਜ਼ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਪੁਖਤਾ ਸਬੂਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

2. ਮੇਰੀ ਮਿਤੀ: 1.5.2021 ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਦੇ ਲੜੀਵਾਰ/ਪੈਰਾਵਾਈਜ਼ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਪੁਖਤਾ ਸਬੂਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਜੋ ਜਵਾਬ ਦਿਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

3. ਮੇਰੀ ਮਿਤੀ: 15.2021 ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬੇਨਤੀ ਤੇ ਅਗਰ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ/ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਵਲੋਂ ਲੜੀਵਾਰ/ਪੈਰਾਵਾਈਜ਼ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਪੁਖਤਾ ਸਬੂਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜੋ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਯੋਗ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਾਹਿਤ।

**Appeal Case No.5677 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

2. Respondent, Ms. Rajbir Kaur stated that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record any more.
3. The commission is in receipt of a correspondence from respondent Randhir Singh (Superintendent Grade-1) in affidavit form wherein the factual situation of the case is described and has affirmed the position that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied to best extent and what has been provided is true/ correct.
4. Appellant Sh. Chander Pratap is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file it is Commission's view that the RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **Disposed of** and **CLOSED** .

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Tejinder Singh, (90410043130)**

Advocate, Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,  
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Council,  
Rupnagar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal Case No.2499 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	24-12-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	13-02-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Inspector Bhavna Setia (8427916644)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 05.04.2022 vide which opportunity was granted to point out deficiencies in the information so supplied by the respondent, but appellant failed to do so.
2. Respondent, Inspector Bhavna Setia stated that complete information/reply has been supplied to the appellant after the record inspection done by the appellant.
3. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Accordingly, it is presumed that appellant is satisfied with the information so supplied by the respondent authority, **Commission has disposed of this case**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Tejinder Singh, (90410043130)**

Advocate, Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,  
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur-144410

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Council,  
Rupnagar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal Case No.2543 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	20-09-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	12-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Inspector Bhavna Setia (8427916644)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 05.04.2022 vide which opportunity was granted to point out deficiencies in the information so supplied by the respondent, but appellant failed to do so.
2. Respondent, Inspector Bhavna Setia stated that complete information/reply has been supplied to the appellant after the record inspection done by the appellant.
3. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Accordingly, it is presumed that appellant is satisfied with the information so supplied by the respondent authority, **Commission has disposed of this case.** However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Jasmeet Singh, (9417222449)**

# 449, New Jawahar Nagar,  
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Jalandhar.

**Appeal Case No. 3662 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	02-03-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	28-04-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	04-05-2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasmeet Singh

Respondent: Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector) 9417878141

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 05.04.2022 vide which opportunity was granted to point out deficiencies in the information so supplied by the respondent, but appellant failed to do so.
2. **Information Sought:**
  - a.) Copy of Details of Development works undertaken, supervised by Sh. Bhagwinder Singh Tuli in the last Six years.
  - b.) Copy of list and details of Estimate and bills passed by Sh. B.S Tuli in the last six years.
3. Appellant Sh. Jasmeet Singh stated that no information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority so far.
4. **Written Submission From Respondent:** A correspondence dated 06.07.2022 vide diary no. 14791 is received in the commission from respondent Executive Engineer provisional Division PWD B& R Branch Jalndhar Cant the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

*“In this connection, it is pertinent to cite the decision of the Supreme Court of India in the SLP (C) No 27734 of 2012 (Girish R Deshpande vs GIG and others) in which it has held that the performance of an employee/Officer in an organization is primarily matter between the a employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression personal information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public*

**Appeal Case No. 3662 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

*activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which could cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of that individual." The Supreme Court further held that such information could be disclosed only if it would serve a larger public interest. Therefore, the information is completely exempted from disclosure under the provisions of the RTI Act Moreover, the information being sought by the applicant is voluminous as per para no. 37 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India Judgment in the case of CBSE vs Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors. in the Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011 [Arising out of SLP (C) No.7526/2009]. Therefore, information cannot be provided."*

5. Respondent, Sh. Dinesh Joshi retired the content of the aforementioned written submission from his department.
6. After hearing the parties and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found no reason to disagree with the replies of the respondents. The replies of respondents upheld. The matter is **disposed of** accordingly at Commission's end.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Jasmeet Singh, (9417222449)**

# 449, New Jawahar Nagar,  
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, PWD, Provincial Division (B&R),  
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, PWD, Provincial Division (B&R),  
Jalandhar.

**Appeal Case No. 3664 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 03-05-2021  
PIO replied on : 19-05-2021  
First appeal filed on : 07-06-2021  
First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasmeet Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Manu Jaghota (JE)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 30.05.2022 .
2. **Written Submission from respondent:** A letter dated 24.06.2022 vide diary no.13757 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

Sr. No.	Information desired by the Applicant	Reply by the PIO
1	Total amount sanctioned for new construction of the Footpath, measurements of foot path height, width, length from the ground level.	The sanction amount for the development of park was 15.09 lacs and this information has been already been replied vide letter referred above in reference. The tentative details of the footpath has been shown in the estimate which is enclosed as enclosure-A.
2	Rate to be done with contractor and the name of the contractor. ( Copy of estimate also)	Work was allotted to Contractor M/s Amit Handa @5.15% less rate than DNIT amount and this information has already been replied vide letter referred above in reference. The copy of estimate is enclosed as enclosure-A.
3	Noting on regarding representation no. 1392 dated 31.03.2021, what action you do the request of Mohalla Senior Citizen.	Regarding your representation dated 31.03.2021, ramps were provided for the safety and this information has already been replied vide letter referred above in reference. It is also submitted that no separate noting has been passed in this regard.

3. Both the parties were present and heard.

**Appeal Case No. 3664 of 2021**

**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

4. **Post deliberation :** Keeping in view the facts of the case after hearing both the parties the commission finds that the information supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**. Moreover, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any.**

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh  
Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)  
State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Surya Kanta Acharya, (9090917727)**

S/o Sh. Harekrushna Acharya,

At P.O Anikana, Via Kaipara, PS Bari, Jaipur-755010

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Para Medical Council,

Sector 73, Mohali.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Para Medical Council,

Sector 73, Mohali.

**Appeal Case No. 3091 of 2021**

**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on : 17-03-2021

PIO replied on : -

First appeal filed on : 07-05-2021

First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Surya Kanta Acharya

Respondent: Sh. Surinder (Superintendent)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 30.05.2022 .
2. A correspondence from respondent Dr. M S Gill Chairman/ Director Para Medical Council Punjab, Mohali, is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

*"Reply on behalf of Para Medical Council (Pb.) Mohali is given as below:*

1. *That the application of the applicant is not maintainable as Para Medical Council is a Private Organisation/ Society and is not covered under Right to Information Act.*
2. *That the respondent Para Medical Council is not funded by Govt of Punjab or any other Govt. or public authority. The respondent PMC has never received any grant or fund from any govt. or govt. institution/ authority.*
3. *That even then we have sent information to the Appellant through letter No PMCP/13214/22 dated 29-01-2022 via Indian Post vide Receipt No EP43003606IN that Para Medical Council is not public authority and is not covered under R.T.I. Act. However, PMC has supplied the information sought by the applicant in the letter. A copy of the letter is annexed herewith.*

*It is therefore prayed that the appeal may be dismissed."*

3. The Commission is of the view that the PIO is not legally obliged to give the appellant the sought for information as Para Medical Council Punjab, Mohali is not a public authority. Hence, in the view of above the commission finds that the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant and accordingly the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**



**Sh. Gurmeet Singh, (9501421959)**

S/o Sh. Surmukh Singh,  
Distt Pardhan Anti Corruption Front 2072,  
Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar, Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, Central Sub Division (B&R), Batala,  
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Division (B&R),  
Amritsar.

**Appeal Case No. 3161 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	19-03-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	01-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Gurmeet Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Deepak Gupta (9501601606)

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 30.05.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Deepak Gupta stated that sought information has been supplied to the appellant dated 04.07.2022 and no any other information is pending in the office record.
3. The commission is in receipt of correspondence via email dated 30.05.2022 from Executive Engineer, central Works Division No. 2 PWD (B&R), Amritsar which contains the copies of record files pertaining to the information sought by the appellant.
4. Keeping in view the facts of the case after hearing both the parties the commission finds that the information has been supplied and as the respondent Sh. Deepak Gupta has stated in the court that no any other information pertaining to this RTI application in the office record, **therefore**, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Tejveer Singh, (9855436162)**

S/o Sh. Gurnam Singh,  
Dashmesh Nagar, Near Raju Kariyana Store,  
Jalalabad, Distt. Fazilka – 152024

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies,  
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies,  
Ferozepur Division, Ferozepur.

**Appeal Case No. 396 of 2022**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	29-01-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	20-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	06-07-2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Tejveer Singh

Respondent: Sh. Rajan Gurbax (ARCS) 9815349645

**ORDER (Second Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 01.06.2022.
2. Appellant Sh. Tejveer Singh stated that incomplete information has been supplied by the respondent authority so far. On this, respondent, Sh. Rajan Gurbax stated that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant completely and no any other information is pending in the office record.
3. **Post Deliberation:** The commission directed the appellant to visit the respondent office tomorrow i.e. on 13.07.2022 by 10:00 am for record inspection, falling which no further opportunity will be granted to him. On other hand the respondent PIO is directed to furnish an undertaking providing therein the factual situation (as discussed above) and affirming the position that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied to best extent, within 05 days from the issue of this order.

The case is **disposed of** with above observations and directions.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Sukhchain Singh, (9463518565)**

Sonam Mohalla, Fatehgarh Churian,  
Tehsil Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala,  
Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Inspector General of Police, Border Range,  
Amritsar

**Appeal Case No. 444 of 2022**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	06-08-2021
PIO replied on	:	16-11-2021
First appeal filed on	:	09-11-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sukhchain Singh

Respondent: Insp. Prabhjot Singh (SHO) 8528996582

**ORDER (Second Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 01.06.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent:** A correspondence dated 12.07.2022 vide diary no. 15237 is received in the commission the relevant portion is reproduced below:  
*" 2. That, it is respectfully submitted that the appellant has demanded the recording of CCTV Cameras from 5.30 PM to 7.00 PM dated 12.07.2020 installed in Police Station Fatehgarh Churian. The information was neither previously available nor it is presently available in this office. The information in the DVR is stored only for 30 days.*  
*3. The call detail information from 10.07.2020 uptill 13.07.2020 is neither available nor concerned with this department.*  
*4. That, it is respectfully submitted that the challan/final report under section 173 Cr.PC. in case FIR No.68 dated 12.07.2020 Police Station Fatehgarh Churian has been presented in the Id. Trial court on 17.11.2021 and now the case is pending for trial.*  
*5. That, it is humbly prayed that the demanded information by the appellant was neither previously available nor it is available at present with the answering deponent. Keeping in view of the above facts the appeal may kindly be dismissed in the interest of justice."*

3. Both the parties are present and heard.

**Appeal Case No. 444 of 2022**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the information supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**. Moreover, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any.**

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**